

Roberts, Bradley

From: Roberts, Bradley
Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2015 9:50 AM
To: 'philip hornbeck'
Cc: Diane Sheridan (dbsfacilitator@gmail.com)
Subject: RE: maps and results

Phil, Oxy is currently working on their risk assessment using data gathered up to this point and I expect to see their report this fall. The upfront soil and groundwater investigation is done, unless the risk assessment determines there are data gaps. Regardless, groundwater monitoring (includes sampling) will continue indefinitely. Oxy is also currently preparing a Corrective Measures Study work plan. EPA will review the work plan this fall and once it is approved, they will go through the process of determining what options they have for a multi-part final remedy. Questions about what has been done with respect to deep well injection should be directed to KDHE's Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program.

Brad Roberts, Environmental Scientist
AWMD/WRAP/RCAP
US EPA Region 7
11201 Renner Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219
Phone: 913-551-7279
Fax: 913-551-9279
Email: roberts.bradley@epa.gov

RCRA



From: philip hornbeck [REDACTED] Ex. 6 PII
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2015 9:10 AM
To: Roberts, Bradley
Subject: maps and results

Good morning,

Upon further checking the well locations are listed. Is the sampling complete to negotiate a solution? Soil on and off site borings? Ground water?

If so it is information overload for the public, which is probably the reason the agency does not publish the results. Old on site dumps, off site areas where perc and methanes belched contamination for years every time there was an electrical interruption mostly due to storms.

The 1998 references reflect the time when the agency superseded your old employer who had much lower allowances. It was the height of public outrage. Except for additional iw's sent to deep injection the agency has done little claiming having to wait for the total picture to be determined and now its done? Years of allowing the spread of low level organics in the ground water are a tribute to the allowances made by the agency. In 1988 I was serving on the plant safety committee and on a process improvement team addressing security issues, a possible burner and the lousy public image of the plant.

According the cig minutes which constitute the record of activity you were in Wichita and only mentioned the semi annual report. So much is going on in the way of actual and probable solutions it seems unlikely this was your only contribution the cig meeting. The cig came about in 1988 due to public outcry and has been largely ignored by oxy since their takeover. The minutes of meetings have been inaccurate as to actual presentations to the public. Oxy has little respect for the public.

[REDACTED]

One reason oxy does not want me active is my belief they have too much land. They are basically a siding company on the chemical side and only need a methanol plant to produce at this site. I was told by oxy's first plant manager a methanol plant was a possibility and I have inquired to management about plans which they say have never been discussed.

A question that needs answering is what are the constituents and quantities of on site surface water sent to the deep wells? I have asked every year with no response. All surface water is collected and injected. Even Greg stalled me. Everything that goes down the wells is accounted for and it is a matter of a calculation to determine values. Who do I address this question to get an answer? Thank you.